

Background

❑ **Federal CIO Council**

- ❑ Innovate - Innovating for the American People
- ❑ Deliver - Maximizing the Value of Federal IT
- ❑ Protect - Advancing our Nation's Cybersecurity
- ❑ *Leadership – OMB/FedCIO/DHS*

❑ **Information Security and Identity Management Committee (ISIMC)**

- ❑ The committee will recommend standard organization structures for information security committees across the Federal Government; and ensure the tools, metrics, and measures will lead to defensive operational capabilities and protections of the Federal networks, systems, and applications.
- ❑ *Leadership – DoJ/DoD/NARA*

❑ **Mobile Technology Tiger Team (MTTT)**

- ❑ Build broad representative membership to discuss topics of critical importance to mobile implementations across the Federal landscape. Support progress and innovation through informing development of standards.
- ❑ *Leadership – DoJ/GSA/DoD/DHS*

Initiative

- ❑ **New “mobile” business model centered around apps**
 - ❑ As of June 2014 (Statista), both Apple and Google report over 1.2 million apps available in their stores
- ❑ **Volume too large for any one organization**
 - ❑ Opportunity to leverage collective effort/progress
- ❑ **Vision:** Establish Federal criteria for mobile application vetting to achieve reciprocity across Federal departments and agencies
 - ❑ Create a collaborative community across Government to capture, share, and leverage knowledge based on Government and private sector sources
 - ❑ Develop a common set of criteria to structure app vetting findings as part of a process to share information and encourage reciprocity across agencies while reducing the time and resources required for determination

Desired Outcomes

- ❑ **Initial goal:**

- ❑ Draft specifications and guidance on evaluation criteria, security controls, and best practices to assess and certify the security posture of mobile apps

- ❑ **Ultimate goal:**

- ❑ In coordination with existing Federal standards bodies, establish Federal-wide guidance for agencies to use in defining and executing their mobile app vetting and approval efforts

- ❑ **Methodology used:**

- ❑ Key players should be in agreement to build this collaborative community
- ❑ The group defines a scope for this effort, to include the activities and products it will develop and maintain
- ❑ Define key near-term activities and execution plan

Process

- ❑ **Established Group (MAVSWG):**
 - ❑ Reviewed NIST 800-53, DISA MAPP SRG, Common Weakness Enumeration, OWASP Top Ten, NSA Vulnerabilities A to C, NIAP Protection Profile and other sources to evaluate as potential sources of criteria
- ❑ **Analyzed, Deliberated and Gained consensus:**
 - ❑ Produced high-level criteria to which CWE, NIAP Protection Profile requirements, and agency-specific requirements can be mapped as necessary
 - ❑ Organized criteria into categories to simplify presentation and use
 - ❑ Explored opportunities to align with NIAP Protection Profile relative and NIST App Vetting effort
 - ❑ Positioned criteria to reflect risk to an organization
- ❑ **Determine path to widest adoption**
 - ❑ Need adoption and sustainability model

Recommendation to the Federal CIO Council

- ❑ **Federal Mobile Application Vetting Criteria Adoption and Sustainment**
 - ❑ Continued Alignment with NIST 800-163 and NIAP Protection Profile as core programs for guidance
 - ❑ Publish within Digital Government Strategy and Federal CIO Council constructs for reference
 - ❑ Take advantage of NIST and NIAP established structures and review cycles to keep criteria fresh and representative of current capabilities
 - ❑ Leverage broad representation available to these processes to maintain integrity of the criteria

- ❑ **Follow on activities**
 - ❑ Processes to support Federal reciprocity
 - ❑ Support to industry for adoption

Discussion